IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Naimat Ullah **VS** State

FIR no. 81, Dated: 10-10.2026, U/S 302/324/429/34, PS Mishti Mela

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.05	07.11.2026	DPP for the State present. Petitioner on ad-interim pre-arrest bail through counsel present. Complainant through his son Hameed Ullah present. Counsel for the petitioner requested for adjournment. Case file be put up for arguments on 11.11.2026. **(HAQ NAWAZ)** Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.06	11.11.2026	Sr. PP, Abul Qasim for the State present. Petitioner on ad-interim pre-arrest bail along with counsel present. Arguments heard. Case file be put up for order on 12.11.2026. **(HAQ NAWAZ)** Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.06	11.11.2026	Accused/petitioner, **Naimat Ullah** on ad-interim pre-arrest bail along with counsel present. Sr. PP for the state present. Arguments already heard and record perused. The accused/petitioner seeks confirmation of his bail before arrest in case FIR No. 81, Dated 10.10.2026, u/s 302/324/429/34 PPC registered at Police Station Mishti Mela. As per contents of the FIR, the local police, while responding to the information regarding the incident, reached DHQ Hospital Mishti Mela on 08.10.2026 where they found the dead body of Ameen Ullah in the emergency room. The complainant, Rehman Ullah, reported the matter to the local police at 1545 hours of the even day that he along with his son

Haq Nawaz
District & Sessions Judge
Orakzai at Baber Mela

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		Ameen Ullah went for grazing cattle in nearby fields on the eventful day when the accused Hidayat Ullah appeared and made firing upon Hidayat Ullah at 1515 hours, who got hit and died on the spot. The accused/petitioner Naimat Ullah also appeared and made firing upon the complainant but he luckily escaped unhurt although his cow sustained injury. Motive behind the occurrence was reported to be "dispute over a landed property". After hearing both the parties and perusal of the record, it was found that the offense falls under the prohibitory clause of Section 497 CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the complainant for false implication of the petitioner in the instant case. Hence, the accused/petitioner is not entitled to the extra-ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail. Therefore, ad-interim bail earlier granted to the above-named accused/petitioner is hereby recalled and the BBA in hand resultantly stands dismissed. Copy of this Order be placed on judicial/police file. File of this Court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation. **Announced**: 11.11.2026 **(HAQ NAWAZ)** Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela