




Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.03	19.01.2026	<p>Appellants in person along with their counsel present. Respondent No.1 in person along with his counsel present. Shakeel Khan Ahmad Khel Advocate submitted Wakalatnama in favor of respondents. Arguments heard. Case file be put up for order on 27.01.2026.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (HAQ NAWAZ) District Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela </p>
Order No.04	27.01.2026	<p>Appellants present in person. Respondent No. 1 in person.</p> <p>This civil revision petition was preferred against the order dated 17.09.2025 passed by the Court of Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai in Civil Suit No. 46/1 of 2022 whereby application of the respondents for impleadment was accepted.</p> <p>The suit was brought for declaration of ownership of suit land situated at Sultanzai Orakzai with the submission that the petitioner are tenants of the respondents. The petitioner filed an application for rejection of the plaint under Order VII Rule 11 CPC for the reason that the plaintiffs have claimed hereditary ownership of the suit property whereas the fathers of plaintiffs No. 1 and 2 are alive; hence, they were having no cause of action to bring the suit in the lifetime of their fathers. On the other hand, the respondents submitted their application for impleadment of the fathers of plaintiffs No. 1 and 2 in the plaint as plaintiffs. Both the applications were contested by the parties by submitting their written reply. The learned Trial</p>


Haq Nawaz
District & Sessions Judge
Orakzai at Baber Mela

4

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Gul Waris etc VS Imran Khan etc

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.04 27.01.2026		<p>Court accepted the application of respondents through his impugned order, as a result of which the application filed by the petitioner was become infructuous; hence, this revision petition was preferred.</p> <p>After hearing both the parties and perusal of the record, it was found that according to Order I Rule 10 CPC, the Court may at any stage of the suit, if satisfied that the suit has been instituted through a bonafide mistake, and that it is necessary for the determination of the real matter in dispute so to do, order any other person to be substituted are added as plaintiff upon such terms as the Court thinks just. The perusal of impugned order discloses that the fact that fathers of both the plaintiffs No. 1 and 2 were alive, was not concealed from the Court. Secondly, the acceptance of application for addition of parents of the plaintiffs No. 1 and 2 was necessary for determination of the real matter in dispute between the parties; hence, the application was rightly accepted by the learned Trial Court leaving the application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC by the petitioner as infructuous. This revision petition being devoid of merits is dismissed. File of this Court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.</p> <p><u>Announced:</u> 27.01.2026</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (HAQ NAWAZ) District Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela </div>