


(9)

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA


● Case Title: Zameen Shah vs. State

FIR no. _____, Dated: _____, u/s _____, PS _____

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.04	18.12.2025	<p>Accused/petitioner, Zameen Shah on ad-interim pre-arrest bail along with counsel and Sr. PP, Abul Qasim for the State present. Record received. Complainant, despite several notices, absent. Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>The accused/petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No. 19, Dated 29.03.2024, u/s 506/427/34 PPC of Police Station Kalaya. As per contents of FIR, the complainant, Shah Wali made a report to the local police on 29.03.2024 at 1200 hours that he along with Muhammad and Gul Awaleen are performing duty as security guards with Abdul Samad in a coal mine. He further reported that the accused/petitioner along with co-accused arrived there on 28.03.2024 at 1600 hours and started altercation and used abusive language asking why they were performing duties in the coal mine and threatened to avenge using rocket launcher. The complainant along with others were present on duty at 0020 hours on the eventful night when firing started from Shage Mountain which lasted for about 20 minutes and they took shelter in nearby rooms. In the morning, they found the daily use items got damaged through fire shots. The complainant, after due satisfaction, charged the accused/petitioner Zar Meen with co-accused Fateh Khan</p>


Haq Nawaz
District & Sessions Judge
Orakzai at Baber Mela

3

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		<p>and Yasmeen along with two other unknown persons for the commission of offence. Hence, the present FIR.</p> <p>After hearing both the parties and perusal of the record, it was found that the proceedings u/s 512 CrPC was stopped u/s 249 CrPC due to non-appearance of the complainant. The accused/petitioner is charged for firing upon the complainant party, but no mark of fire shots was found by the IO during the spot inspection. Thus, malafide implication of the petitioner cannot be ruled out. The application is, therefore, accepted and ad-interim pre-arrest bail granted earlier to the accused/petitioners is confirmed on the strength of existing bail bonds.</p> <p>File of this Court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation. Copy of this Order be placed on judicial/police file.</p> <p><u>Announced:</u> 18.12.2025</p> <p> (HAQ NAWAZ) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela</p>