IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title:	Do	7 Khan	VS	State	
FIR no	2 7 , Dated: _	10/11/025	, u/s 300/34	PPL , PS_	Cheljo

	o. <u>,</u>	70/11/0-3 , 413 JOV/31 VVC, 13 A//2010
Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
		wind that of parties of counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
1	2	3
Order No.04	05.12.2025	Accused/petitioner, Door Khan on ad-interim pre-
		arrest bail present. Sr. PP, Abul Qasim for the State
		present. Complainant. despite several notices, absent.
		Arguments of Sr. PP heard while arguments of counsel
•		for the petitioner already heard and record perused.
		The accused/petitioner seeks bail before arrest in
		case FIR No. 27, Dated 10.11.2025, u/s 302/34 PPC
		registered at Police Station Ghiljo. As per contents of
		FIR, the local police, while responding to information
		regarding the incident, rushed to THQ Hospital and found
		the dead bodies of Abdullah and Salman in the emergency
		room. The complainant, Baliameen, made a report to the
		local police on 10.11.2025 at 1240 hours that the
		deceased are his father and uncle who were working as
		laborers in Mamozai and constructing their house. The
		complainant further reported that he received a phone call
	NaWaz Sessions Judge	on 10.11.2025 that his father and uncle have been missing
Maq District & S Orakzai	Nawar Judge at Baber Nala	since last night and have been killed by unknown persons
		through firearm in Jandri mountain. Upon this
		information, the complainant along with his relative came
		to the spot and found the dead bodies of his father and
,		uncle. He came to know that the accused Malak, Ayyub,
		Imran and Naimat Ullah have committed their murder.

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		After hearing both the parties and perusal of the
		record, it was found that the petitioner has been charged
2.77		for the commission of offence by the complainant in his
		supplementary statement recorded on 21.11.2025. The
		offense falls under the prohibitory clause of Section 497
		CrPC. Counsel for the accused/petitioner failed to point
		out any malafidi or ulterior motive on behalf of the
		complainant or local police for implication of the
		petitioner in the instant case. Hence, the
		accused/petitioner is not entitled to the extra-ordinary
		concession of pre-arrest bail. Therefore, ad-interim bail earlier granted to the above-named accused/petitioner is
		hereby recalled and the BBA in hand resultantly stands dismissed. File of this Court be consigned to record room
		after its necessary completion and compilation. Copy of
		this Order be placed on judicial/police file.
		Announced: 05.12.2025
		(HAQ NAWAZ) Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
· ·		