FORM "A"
FORM OF ORDER SHEE

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No. 07	18.10.2025	Parties alongwith counsel present.
,		Vide this order the court intend to dispose of instant
		application filed under Section 12(2) C.P.C. by
٠.	· ·	petitioners Iqtidar Khan and 01 other.
	1. 1.	Stance of Petitioners: Petitioners have stated in the
	i	instant 12(2) C.P.C. petition, that impugned ex-parte
		decree dated: 12.05.2025 was passed in favour of
		respondents no. 01 to 15 by the Court and against
		respondent no. 16 & 17. They further stated that they
		were not arrayed as necessary party to the said case. That
	MUHAMMAD JUNAN CIVII Orakzai at Kaiaya	petitioners are owners in possession of the suit property
MUH AMMA CIVII Ora		vide agreement deeds dated 07.07.2024 & 29.08.2024.
		That petitioners purchased the suit property from
		respondent no. 16 Syed Asnad Hussain and got the
		possession of the same. Respondents no. 01 to 15 have
		no concern with the same. Record shows that the
		petitioners have challenged the decree dated 12.05.2025,

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Contind order No. 07	18.10.2025	passed in Civil Suit No.83/1, on the ground that the said
		decree was obtained by the decree holder through
		misrepresentation and concealment of facts for
	·	misleading the court. That the decree holder (DH)
		intentionally concealed material facts from the court and
		obtained an ex parte decree by making false statements
		and misrepresentation of facts.
		Stance of respondents: Contrary to this respondent no.
		01 to 15 stated in their reply that the Impugned ex-parte
		decree dated: 12.05.2025 was passed in accordance with
		law by the court. Instant 12(2) C.P.C. petition is badly
		time barred and petitioners have no cause of action. The
		agreement deeds dated 07.07.2024 & 29.08.2024 are
		fake and bogus. Therefore, no concealment of facts or
الرميد .	1	misrepresentation has been made before the court.
MUHAMMA	D JUNAID ALAM D JUNAID ALAM D JUNAID Judge I JUNAID Judge I JUNAID Judge I JUNAID Judge I JUNAID Judge I JUNAID Junaid Juna	Arguments of both the counsels for the parties heard.
Orak	¥31 a.	Learned counsel for petitioners argued that the decree
		holder (DH) intentionally concealed material facts from

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Contind order No. 07	18.10.2025	the court and obtained an ex parte decree by making
		false statements and misrepresentation of facts. It was
		further argued that the petitioners had no knowledge of
		the proceedings of the case and came to know about the
		same only 10-days prior to the institution of 12(2)
		petition. The petitioners, therefore, pray that the decree
		dated 12.05.2025 may kindly be set aside being the result
		of fraud and misrepresentation.
:		Learned counsel for respondents no. 01 to 15 argued that
•		all the allegations of petitioners are based on fraud and
		misrepresentation. It was further argued that the
		application under Section 12(2) CPC has been filed
ļ		merely to delay the execution proceedings.
	ALA JUNAID ALA SERVICIO DE CORTE	Perusal of the available record and arguments of the
un,	(N) (N)	counsel for the parties, would reveal that admittedly
,		present petitioners were not arrayed as party in the suit
		no. 83/1. Furthermore, the decree holder instituted the
		suit without disclosing certain material facts which go to
		the root of the matter. It is also evident from the record

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Contind order No. 07	18.10.2025	that the petitioners were not even served with notice of
oraer Ivo. 07		the local commission proceedings, yet the decree holders
		represented to the court that they were the sole owners of
		the suit property. Therefore, the material facts were
		concealed. Court is of the opinion that the conduct of the
		decree holders throughout the proceedings reflects that
	·	they misled the court by concealing true facts. It is a
		settled principle of law that a decree obtained by fraud or
		misrepresentation is a nullity in the eyes of law and
		cannot be allowed to stand. In the present case, the
		documentary record and surrounding circumstances lead
		to the irresistible conclusion that the decree dated
		12.05.2025 was indeed obtained through
		misrepresentation, concealment of facts and misleading
		statements by the decree holders. In view of the above
		discussion and findings, this court is of the firm view
		that the petitioners have successfully established that the
,	Al	impugned decree was obtained through
	UNAID ALAM	misrepresentation concealment of facts and by
WAY Civi	an Junain Alam Judge I Julii Judge I Kalaya	misleading the court. Accordingly, the application under
U.~		Section 12(2) CPC is accepted, and the decree dated
		12.05.2025 is hereby set aside. The matter shall be
		restored to its original number for decision on merits

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Contind order No. 07	18.10.2025	after affording opportunity of hearing to both parties.
order two. 07		The petition under Section 12(2) CPC stands accepted.
,		The ex-parte decree dated 12.05.2025 is set aside.
	. <del>.</del>	Moharrir is directed to implead the petitioners named
		above in the column of defendants in case No. 83/10f
		2023, titled as Syed Shams Abbas and others Vs Syed
		Asnad Hussain and other with red ink. To come up for
		further proceedings on 08.11.2025, while instant file of
	1	12(2) petition be consigned to the Record Room, Orakzai
	÷ .	after its proper completion and compilation.
		Announced in open court:
	·	18.10.2025
		Muhammad Junaid Alam Civil Judge-II, Tehsil Courts, Kalaya, Orakzai
	÷.	
		,
		·
	•	