BA NO. 135/4 OF 2025

WALI KHAN ETC. VS THE STATE

FIR NO. 79, DATED 07.07.2025, U/S 302/324/148/149 PPC, POLICE STATION: KALAYA

IN THE COURT OF HAQ NAWAZ, SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Bail Application No.

135/4 of 2025

Date of Institution

18.09.2025

Date of Decision:

26.09.2025

ORDER

Accused/petitioners present through their representative. Sr. PP, Abul Qasim for the State. Complainant, despite being telephonically informed, absent. I heard arguments of Sr. PP and perused the record.

2. Accused/petitioners, Wali Khan s/o Nusrat Shah, Ashiq Khan s/o Haji Zeenat Shah and Muhammad Alam Khan s/o Muhammad Akbar seek their post arrest bail in case FIR No. 79, Dated 07.07.2025, u/s 302/324/148/149 PPC of Police Station Kalaya. As per contents of FIR, the local police responding to information regarding the incident, rushed to THQ Hospital Kalaya and found the dead body of Noor Zada Khan s/o Jannat Khan in the emergency room. The complainant, Gul Zameen (uncle of deceased) reported the matter to the local police on 07.07.2025 at 2245 hours that members of Caste Feroz Khel and Caste Utman Khel were present on the mountain known as Marghiyo Chan Coal Mine situated at village Banda Jat while carrying weapons and were firing at one another. He further reported that he along with the deceased Noor Zada and other persons were

Haq Nawaz Matrict & Sessions Judge Orakzai at Baber Mela BA NO. 135/4 OF 2025

WALI KHAN ETC. VS THE STATE

FIR NO. 79, DATED 07.07.2025, U/S 302/324/148/149 PPC, POLICE STATION: KALAYA

eating outside a room near the Coal Mine when Noor

Zada was shot by a fire and died instantly, while the

complainant and other persons took shelter in the said

room who fortunate to escape unharmed. Motive for

the occurrence was reported to be a dispute over a

mountain and forest. Hence, the present FIR.

3. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the

record, it was found that the petitioners are not directly

charged in the FIR rather they have been charged by

the complainant in his statement u/s 164 CrPC

recorded on 17.07.2025. No specific role has been

attributed to any of the accused/petitioner and no

recovery was effected from their possession. Above

all, the co-accused with similar role have already been

admitted to bail. Therefore, the accused/petitioners are

also entitled to the concession of bail on the basis of

rule of consistency.

4. Hence, in view of what is discussed above, the

accused/petitioners are admitted to bail subject to

submission of bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 150,000/-

with two sureties, each in the like amount to the

satisfaction of this Court. The sureties must be local,

reliable and men of means.

5. Copy of this Order be placed on police/judicial file. File

of this Court be consigned to record room after its

necessary completion and compilation.

Announced:

26.09.2025

(HAO NAWAZ)

Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela

Page 2 of 2