## COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE/JM, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Saad Khan Vs Shahzad Khan etc.

Serial No	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
of order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings	Proceedings	
Order No. 05	23.10.2025	Parties along with their counsel present.
01461 110. 03	23.10.2023	Tarties along with their counsel present.
		This order is to decide instant application filed by
		petitioner/defendant for setting aside an ex-parte decree
`		passed against him case no 82/1 of 2024 on 28-07-25.
		Brief Account:
		Petitioner pleads that the date on which he was
		proceeded against was one adjourned through note reader,
		and it was for submission of list of witnesses, and not a
		date of hearing. His counsel argued that a date adjourned
	,	through note reader cannot be utilized to take penal action,
	·	especially when it is not a date of hearing. He further adds
,		that his client filed for setting aside promptly within the
		period fixed by law.
		Respondent's counsel argues the contents of the
		petition do not fall within the ambit provided for by Order
		09 rule 13. He argues that petitioner willfully absented
		himself from the court and remained absent on multiple
	٠	dates of hearings before the decree was pronounced.
		Arguments heard and record perused.
		Reasons:
Con	or Civil Judge	Perusal of record reveals that the date on which ex-
Orak.	dylcc7 2025	parte proceedings were ordered against the petitioner was

one adjourned through note by reader of the court. Further,

continued ...

## COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE/JM, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Saad Khan Vs Shahzad Khan etc.

70-80	23.10.2025
continued	

the case was fixed for submission of list of witness, which, per dominant view, is not a date of hearing.

Petitioner has offered himself for imposition of costs for any convenience the delay might have caused the other side, but he pleads and pray for a chance to defend his rights.

In view of the record highlighted above, the penal action was taken against the defendant on a date not fixed for hearing, and that too when it was adjourned through note of the reader. In these circumstances, the court is inclined to afford the defendant the opportunity to defend his rights in a contested trial. However, for the delay in moving the court for setting aside the decree, the court deems it fair to impose costs of Rs. 10,000/- to be paid to the plaintiff.

Application is accepted. Decree is set aside.

File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation while copy of this order be placed on main file.

**Announced:** 23.10.2025.

**Ijaz Mahsood**Senior Civil Judge,
Orakzai (at Baber Mela)