IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA Case Title: Haji Ashraf Whan otevs Whizar Hayat Etc

Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge of Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings 1	Proceedings 2	3
Order No.11	15.10.2025	Counsel for the parties present. Reply to application for
		amended plaint submitted; however, the appellants submitted
		another application for withdrawal of the case with permission
		to file afresh suit. Counsel for the respondent relied upon the
		reply submitted against a similar application in Civil Appeal
		No. 30/13. Arguments heard and record perused.
		This civil appeal was preferred against the order dated
		06.03.2025 whereby Civil Suit No. 54/1 of 2022 was rejected
	•	under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.
		The suit was brought by the appellants for declaration
,	\bigcirc	of their shares in the legacy of Ali Akbar Khan in respect of
		about 2,000 Kanals of land situated at different villages of
<u> </u>		sub-division Lower Orakzai, mentioned in the headnote of the
		plaint. The appellants also prayed for issuance of permanent
	S Sudge	and mandatory injunctions to restrain the
	Nawa Sabera	respondents/defendants from refusing the rights of
\	Had het e. s. Heaj at	appellants/plaintiffs. They also sought recovery of possession
	8 6	of the suit properties through official partition with the
		recovery of mesne profit.
		The suits were contested by the respondents by
		submitting their written statement. They also submitted an
		application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the
		plaints. The appellants contesting their application by
	•	submitting their written reply. The learned trial Court, after
		hearing both the parties, accepted the application and rejected
,		[HAJI ASHRAF KHAN ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 11

Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge Serial No of Date of Order Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary. order or proceedings Proceedings the plaint under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Hence, this appeal was preferred. The learned trial Court, while accepting the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, observed that the plaint is silent about the measurement, nature and other descriptions of the suit properties and vague about mesne profit. It was further observed that the appellants have no legal standing as none of their predecessors have claimed their ownership in respect of the suit properties in their lifetimes nor the title documents were annexed with the plaints which do not disclose as to when the cause of action was arisen. Further that there is no likelihood of production of evidence by the appellants to elaborate about the facts passed about three centuries back as the claim is based upon the grant of Mughal Emperor to the alleged predecessor of the parties. During the pendency of appeal, the appellants submitted an application for withdrawal of the case with permission to file a fresh suit. It was alleged that the plaint has formal defects with regard to details and measurement of the properties, the details of parties interested in the suit properties and nature of the suit properties. The application was contested by the respondents by submitting their written reply. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record. According to Order 23 CPC, the plaintiff may, at any

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA Case Title: Haji Ashraf Johan Oth VS Johnson Haust 1ste

	v	
Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		satisfies the Court that the suit must fail by reason of some
		formal defect. Needless to mention that appeal is continuation
,	·	of the suit and the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in its
		judgment reported in 2023 CLC 2042 has defined the formal
		defects to be, (i) Misjoinder of parties or causes of action
		which will result in the failure of the suit, (ii) erroneous
		valuation of the subject matter; (iii) insufficient description of
		the property involved in the suit; (iv) failure to disclose a
		cause of action; (v) material document not properly stamped;
		(vi) non-impleading of necessary party and (vii) form of suit.
•		Though the application for withdrawal was dismissed
	/ P	in the said reported judgment by allowing the petition under
		Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of
	1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Pakistan, 1973, for the reason that the application for
	R. C.	permission to withdraw the suit was bereft of any content
		disclosing formal defect in the plaint. But the present
	•	application by the appellants differentiates their case as the
	· .	formal defects, as defined by the Hon'ble Lahore High Court
		in the said judgment, have been pointed out in the present
	`	application as well as in the impugned order. The suit in
		question must fail for the said defects as pointed out in the
		application.
		So far, the other observations of the learned trial Court
		in the impugned order with regard to legal standing of the
		appellants and other matters, are concerned, the same require
		pro and contra evidence for its adjudication. Needless to [HAJI ASHRAF KHAN ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 11

	Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge of
	order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
-	proceedings 1	Proceedings 2	3
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			mention that being newly merged district of FATA, the
			District Orakzai has no settlement of land under the Land
			Revenue Act, 1967; therefore, the title documents are mostly
			not available in the area. Similarly, no time limit was provided
			under the repugnant Frontier Crime Regulations, 1901, for
			institution of a fresh suit whereas the law of limitation was
			extended to the area with the merger of FATA in the province
			of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the year 2018 and the suit was filed
			in the year 2022. Even otherwise, the question of limitation is
			a mixed question of law and fact which could only be
			adjudicated upon after recording evidence.
			In view of the above, the application is accepted. The
			suit is dismissed as withdrawn and the appellants are allowed
			to institute afresh suits subject to all legal and factual objections. The appeal is disposed of accordingly. File of this
			Court be consigned to record room after its necessary
			completion and compilation.
	·		Announced: 15.10.2025
			(HAQ NAWAZ) District Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela