IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Relmot lehon vs KHizar Hayat

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
Order No.13	15.10.2025	Counsel for the parties present. Arguments heard and
		record perused.
		This civil appeal was preferred against the order dated
		06.03.2025 whereby Civil Suit No. 54/1 of 2022 was rejected
		under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.
		The suit was brought by the appellants for declaration
		of their shares in the legacy of Abdul Aziz Khan, Dolatzai
		Khan in respect of about 50,000 Kanals of land situated at
		different villages of sub-division Lower Orakzai, mentioned
,	·	in the headnote of the plaint. The appellants also prayed for
		issuance of permanent and mandatory injunctions to restrain
		the respondents/defendants from refusing the rights of
		appellants/plaintiffs. They also sought recovery of possession
•		of the suit properties through official partition with the
		recovery of mesne profit.
/)	187 Judge	The suits were contested by the respondents by
	ACL Ses Dale Me	submitting their written statement. They also submitted an
	Creat and Control of the Control of	application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the
		plaints. The appellants contesting their application by
		submitting their written reply. The learned trial Court, after
	•	hearing both the parties, accepted the application and rejected
		the plaint under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Hence, this appeal was
		preferred.
	·	The learned trial Court, while accepting the application
		under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, observed that the plaint is silent
		about the measurement, nature and other descriptions of the
		[REHMAT KHAN ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 13

Serial No of	Date of	Order or	other	Proceedings	with	Signature	of	Judge	0.
order or	Order	Magistrate	and th	at of parties or	couns	sel where ne	ecess	sary.	•
proceedings	Proceedings			-					
1	2				3				

suit properties and vague about mesne profit. It was further observed that the appellants have no legal standing as none of their predecessors have claimed their ownership in respect of the suit properties in their lifetimes nor the title documents were annexed with the plaints which do not disclose as to when the cause of action was arisen. Further that there is no likelihood of production of evidence by the appellants to elaborate about the facts passed about three centuries back as the claim is based upon the grant of Mughal Emperor to the alleged predecessor of the parties.

During the pendency of appeal, the appellants submitted an application for withdrawal of the case with permission to file a fresh suit. It was alleged that the plaint has formal defects with regard to details and measurement of the properties, the details of parties interested in the suit properties and nature of the suit properties.

The application was contested by the respondents by submitting their written reply. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

According to Order 23 CPC, the plaintiff may, at any time after the institution of a suit, withdraw his suit if he satisfies the Court that the suit must fail by reason of some formal defect. Needless to mention that appeal is continuation of the suit and the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in its judgment reported in 2023 CLC 2042 has defined the formal

defects to be, (i) Misjoinder of parties or causes of action



IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Kehmat Khan et vs Khinas Hayat et

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		which will result in the failure of the suit, (ii) erroneous valuation of the subject matter; (iii) insufficient description of the property involved in the suit; (iv) failure to disclose a
		cause of action; (v) material document not properly stamped; (vi) non-impleading of necessary party and (vii) form of suit. Though the application for withdrawal was dismissed
		in the said reported judgment by allowing the petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, for the reason that the application for permission to withdraw the suit was bereft of any content
		disclosing formal defect in the plaint. But the present application by the appellants differentiates their case as the formal defects, as defined by the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in the said judgment, have been pointed out in the present
	No. of the last of	application as well as in the impugned order. The suit in question must fail for the said defects as pointed out in the application.
		So far, the other observations of the learned trial Court in the impugned order with regard to legal standing of the appellants and other matters, are concerned, the same require pro and contra evidence for its adjudication. Needless to mention that being newly merged district of FATA, the District Orakzai has no settlement of land under the Land Revenue Act, 1967; therefore, the title documents are mostly not available in the area. Similarly, no time limit was provided under the repugnant Frontier Crime Regulations, 1901, for
		[REHMAT KHAN ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 13

Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where ne					
proceedings 1	Proceedings 2	3					
		institution of a fresh suit whereas the law of lim	itation was				
		extended to the area with the merger of FATA in the	he province				
		of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the year 2018 and the su	iit was filed				
,	•	in the year 2022. Even otherwise, the question of l	imitation is				
	,	a mixed question of law and fact which cou	ld only be				
		adjudicated upon after recording evidence.					
		In view of the above, the application is acc	cepted. The				
		suit is dismissed as withdrawn and the appellants	are allowed				
,		to institute afresh suits subject to all legal a	and factual				
		objections. The appeal is disposed of accordingly. File of th					
		Court be consigned to record room after its	necessary				
		completion and compilation.					
		Announced: 15.10.2025					
		(HAQ NA District Judge at Baber	e, Orakzai				
			erga.				
	•						
		,					
,	·						