Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Dressedings with Single C I I
order or	Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	is a growth of parties of counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.11	15.10.2025	Counsel for the parties present. Reply to application for
		amended plaint submitted; however, the appellants submitted
		another application for withdrawal of the case with permission
		to file afresh suit. Counsel for the respondent relied upon the
		reply submitted against a similar application in Civil Appeal
		No. 30/13. Arguments heard and record perused.
		This civil appeal was preferred against the order dated
		06.03.2025 whereby Civil Suit No. 54/1 of 2022 was rejected
		under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.
		The suit was brought by the appellants for declaration
		of their shares in the legacy of Abdul Aziz Khan, Dolatzai
		Khan in respect of about 50,000 Kanals of land situated at
•		different villages of sub-division Lower Orakzai, mentioned
		in the headnote of the plaint. The appellants also prayed for
\ \ \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	Wat Judge	issuance of permanent and mandatory injunctions to restrain
Marie	7 See days	the respondents/defendants from refusing the rights of
The side	CASTALL	appellants/plaintiffs. They also sought recovery of possession
		of the suit properties through official partition with the
	, .	recovery of mesne profit.
		The suits were contested by the respondents by
		submitting their written statement. They also submitted an
		application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the
		plaints. The appellants contesting their application by
:		submitting their written reply. The learned trial Court, after
		hearing both the parties, accepted the application and rejected

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Muhammud Salcem Vs Whizar Hayar ets

Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	Translature and that of parties of counsel whole necessary.
1	2	3
		the plaint under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Hence, this appeal was preferred.
		The learned trial Court, while accepting the application
		under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC, observed that the plaint is silent
		about the measurement, nature and other descriptions of the
	. %	suit properties and vague about mesne profit. It was further
		observed that the appellants have no legal standing as none of
		their predecessors have claimed their ownership in respect of
	_	the suit properties in their lifetimes nor the title documents
		were annexed with the plaints which do not disclose as to
		when the cause of action was arisen. Further that there is no
•		likelihood of production of evidence by the appellants to
,		elaborate about the facts passed about three centuries back as the claim is based upon the grant of Mughal Emperor to the
		alleged predecessor of the parties.
(4		During the pendency of appeal, the appellants
	Had See Se	submitted an application for withdrawal of the case with
Ů	186	permission to file a fresh suit. It was alleged that the plaint has
		formal defects with regard to details and measurement of the
		properties, the details of parties interested in the suit
	•• .	properties and nature of the suit properties.
		The application was contested by the respondents by
		submitting their written reply. I have heard learned counsel
		for the parties and perused the record.
		According to Order 23 CPC, the plaintiff may, at any
		time after the institution of a suit, withdraw his suit if he
		[MUHAMMAD SALEEM KHAN ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 11

- 1			·
	Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
	order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	proceedings	Proceedings	
	1	2	3

satisfies the Court that the suit must fail by reason of some formal defect. Needless to mention that appeal is continuation of the suit and the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in its judgment reported in 2023 CLC 2042 has defined the formal defects to be, (i) Misjoinder of parties or causes of action which will result in the failure of the suit, (ii) erroneous valuation of the subject matter; (iii) insufficient description of the property involved in the suit; (iv) failure to disclose a cause of action; (v) material document not properly stamped; (vi) non-impleading of necessary party and (vii) form of suit.

Though the application for withdrawal was dismissed in the said reported judgment by allowing the petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, for the reason that the application for permission to withdraw the suit was bereft of any content disclosing formal defect in the plaint. But the present application by the appellants differentiates their case as the formal defects, as defined by the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in the said judgment, have been pointed out in the present application as well as in the impugned order. The suit in question must fail for the said defects as pointed out in the application.

So far, the other observations of the learned trial Court in the impugned order with regard to legal standing of the appellants and other matters, are concerned, the same require

pro and contra evidence for its adjudication. Needless to

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Muhammad Saloom VS Whigar Heyat ets

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		mention that being newly merged district of FATA, the
		District Orakzai has no settlement of land under the Land
		Revenue Act, 1967; therefore, the title documents are mostly
		not available in the area. Similarly, no time limit was provided
		under the repugnant Frontier Crime Regulations, 1901, for
		institution of a fresh suit whereas the law of limitation was
		extended to the area with the merger of FATA in the province
		of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the year 2018 and the suit was filed
		in the year 2022. Even otherwise, the question of limitation is
	,	a mixed question of law and fact which could only be
		adjudicated upon after recording evidence.
		In view of the above, the application is accepted. The
		suit is dismissed as withdrawn and the appellants are allowed
		to institute afresh suits subject to all legal and factual
		objections. The appeal is disposed of accordingly. File of this
		Court be consigned to record room after its necessary
		completion and compilation.
		Announced: 15.10.2025 (HAQ NAWAZ)
		District Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela
,	į	
		[MUHAMMAD SALEEM KHAN ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 11