0 1 1 3 7 7	T == ==	
Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge of
proceedings	Proceedings	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Onder No. 11	15 10 2025	
Order No.11	15.10.2025	Counsel for the parties present. Counsel for the
		respondents submitted reply of an application for withdrawal
		of the case with permission to file afresh suit. Arguments
		heard and record perused.
		This civil appeal was preferred against the order dated
		06.03.2025 whereby Civil Suit No. 54/1 of 2022 was rejected
		under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.
		The suit was brought by the appellants for declaration
		of their shares in the legacy of Darwish Khan and Muzaffar
		Khan in respect of about 40,000 Kanals of land situated at
	1	different villages of sub-division Lower Orakzai, mentioned
,		in the headnote of the plaint. The appellants also prayed for
		issuance of permanent and mandatory injunctions to restrain
	, a	the respondents/defendants from refusing the rights of
	\$ 13 M	appellants/plaintiffs. They also sought recovery of possession
	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	of the suit properties through official partition with the
	in the second second	recovery of mesne profit.
		The suits were contested by the respondents by
		submitting their written statement. They also submitted an
		application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the
		plaints. The appellants contesting their application by
		submitting their written reply. The learned trial Court, after
	·.	hearing both the parties, accepted the application and rejected
		the plaint under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. Hence, this appeal was
	* · ·	preferred.

## IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA Case Title: Org al Alt Jehanet VS Khuzak Hoyat etc

---

	•	
Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
	Order Proceedings	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
	No. of the last of	submitted an application for withdrawal of the case with permission to file a fresh suit. It was alleged that the plaint has formal defects with regard to details and measurement of the properties, the details of parties interested in the suit properties and nature of the suit properties.
		The application was contested by the respondents by
		submitting their written reply. I have heard learned counsel
		for the parties and perused the record.
		According to Order 23 CPC, the plaintiff may, at any
		time after the institution of a suit, withdraw his suit if he
		satisfies the Court that the suit must fail by reason of some
	. •	formal defect. Needless to mention that appeal is continuation
		[LIAQAT ALI ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 11

	order or proceedings	Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
ŀ	•		, and the second

reported in 2023 CLC 2042 has defined the formal defects to be, (i) Misjoinder of parties or causes of action which will result in the failure of the suit, (ii) erroneous valuation of the subject matter; (iii) insufficient description of the property involved in the suit; (iv) failure to disclose a cause of action; (v) material document not properly stamped; (vi) non-impleading of necessary party and (vii) form of suit.

of the suit and the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in its judgment

Though the application for withdrawal was dismissed in the said reported judgment by allowing the petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, for the reason that the application for permission to withdraw the suit was bereft of any content disclosing formal defect in the plaint. But the present application by the appellants differentiates their case as the formal defects, as defined by the Hon'ble Lahore High Court in the said judgment, have been pointed out in the present application as well as in the impugned order. The suit in question must fail for the said defects as pointed out in the application.

So far, the other observations of the learned trial Court in the impugned order with regard to legal standing of the appellants and other matters, are concerned, the same require pro and contra evidence for its adjudication. Needless to mention that being newly merged district of FATA, the

District Orakzai has no settlement of land under the Land

## 17\_ IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Liagat Ali khanct VS Khizas Hayat et

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
,	<u> </u>	Revenue Act, 1967; therefore, the title documents are mostly
		not available in the area. Similarly, no time limit was provided
		under the repugnant Frontier Crime Regulations, 1901, for
		institution of a fresh suit whereas the law of limitation was
		extended to the area with the merger of FATA in the province
		of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in the year 2018 and the suit was filed
		in the year 2022. Even otherwise, the question of limitation is
		a mixed question of law and fact which could only be
		adjudicated upon after recording evidence.
		In view of the above, the application is accepted. The
•		suit is dismissed as withdrawn and the appellants are allowed
		to institute afresh suits subject to all legal and factual
		objections. The appeal is disposed of accordingly. File of this
		Court be consigned to record room after its necessary
		completion and compilation.
		Announced: 15.10.2025  (NAQ NAWAZ)  District Judge, Orakzai  at Baber Mela
		[LIAQAT ALI ETC. VS KHIZAR HAYAT ETC.] ORDER NO. 11