## IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Ibrahin Khan et VS khan Afzal eti



	•	
pSerial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge of Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No.14	15.09.2025	Appellant No. 1 through his son present.
		Respondents No. 4 in person present. Counsel for the
		parties are not in attedance, therefore, adjourned. File be
		put up for arguments on 24.09.2025.
		(HAC NAWAZ)  Sessions Judge,  Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.15	24.09.2025	Parties along with counsel present. Arguments heard
		and record perused.
		This civil appeal was preferred by the appellants
		against the order dated 17.03.2025 passed by the Court of
		learned Civil Judge-II, Tehsil Courts Kalaya, District Orakzai
		in Misc. Application No. 32/6 of 2024 whereby application
		for the grant of temporary injunction in Civil Suit No. 60/1 of
		2024 was dismissed.
•		The suit was brought by the plaintiffs for declaration
		and permanent as well as mandatory injunction to the effect
		that they are owners of the suit property, measuring two
	AND THE PARTY OF T	Kanals, situated at Terayi Utman Khel, described with four
	TO SE TON	boundaries in headnote of the plaint, since their forefathers
.*	Per Charty	and the defendants have got no concern with the same. The
		plaintiffs also prayed to restrain the defendants from raising
		construction over the suit property.
		The suit was accompanied with an application for the
	· ·	grant of temporary injunction. The suit as well as the

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
		application was contested by the defendants by submitting
	·	their written statement and written reply.
		The learned trial Court, after hearing arguments,
		dismissed the application. Hence, this appeal was preferred.
		I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused
		the record.
		The suit property, as per headnote of the plaint, is
		situated adjacent to the house of defendants/respondents
		towards eastern side. The plaint is not supported by any
		document in the shape of jirga verdict etc. On the other hand,
·		the defendants have annexed an exchange deed with his
		written statement, according to which, a piece of land adjacent
		to his house was exchanged by him with Dilawar Khan, Khan
		Bahadar and Lal Bahadar. In such circumstances, the
		appellants were having no prima facie arguable case in their
		favour. Therefore, the learned trial Court has rightly dismissed
		the application for the grant of temporary injunction. This
		appeal, being devoid of merits, is dismissed. File of this Court
		be consigned to record room after its necessary completion
		and compilation.
		Announced: 24.09.2025  (HAQ NAWAZ)  District Judge, Orakzai  at Baber Mela
	,	