FORM "A"

्या स्वास्त्र स्वास्त्र क

Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary		
1	. 2	3		
Order-57	20.09.2025	None present for the State. Accused/respondent Mehboob		
		Ali alongwith counsel present while remaining		
		accused/respondents are exempted. Complainant in person		
•		alongwith counsel present.		
		Vide this order the Court intends to dispose of the instant		
	17	application under section 249-A Cr.PC.		
		Brief facts of the case in hand are that complainant Akram		
		Hussain alongwith Haleem Khan came to the police station		
		on 10.04.2021 at 12:00 PM and reported, that on		
,		06.04.2021, we were busy in our fields situated near		
		Kalaya Bazar. In the meantime, the police party of police		
		station Kalaya arrived and they told us that the		
		accused/respondent Dayar Ali and other have reported to us		
		that the property belongs to them. The police party stopped		
		them to work to solve the dispute. An official jirga was		
		appointed on 10.04.2021. On the same day we were		
,		waiting for jirga members while the accused/respondent		
~~~	Al	namely Mehboob Ali said that call your jirga members		
MHAMMA	D JUNAID ALAM D JUNAID ALAM D JUNAID ALAM	early. And the same time the accused/respondents		
Otak MoCivij	sai at Kalaña Ingge 1 MIII	Mehboob Ali, Dayar Ali, Qayum Ali and Sher Ali Khan		
		started quarrel with us and started fight due to which		
,		complainant, Haleem Khan and Manan Ali got injured.		

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM, CIVIL JUI OGE/JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-H, KALAYA ORAKZAI Case Title:

> Eyewitness of the occurrence are Haleem Khan and Manan Ali. Complainant charged the accused for the commission of offence. The one Haleem Khan signed the report of complainant as verifier. The local police read over the contents of complainant report as token of its correctness. Report of the complainant was reduced in shape of mad No. 04 dated 10.04.2021. Motive behind the occurrence is land dispute.

> After completion of investigation, complete challan was put in court against the accused/respondents. Provisions of Section 241-A Cr. PC were complied with. Formal charge was framed. Accused/respondents pleaded not guilty and claimed trial. Prosecution was allowed to produce evidence against accused facing trial, 04 PWs have been examined so far.

> Malak Janan SHO was examined as PW-01. He stated in his cross examination that FIR was inadvertently registered in the instant case and later on, on the directions of the prosecution, complaint was prepared and submitted in the

court and cancellation report was submitted accordingly.

Dr. Usman, was examined as PW-02. He stated in his cross examination that as per X-Ray report, there is no fracture.

Wasil Khan, was examined as PW-03. He stated in his

JA DIANUL DAMMAHUM Civil Judge JM-11 Orakzai at Kalaya

IN THE COURT OF	MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM, CIVIL JUD	GE/JUDICIAL	MAGISTRATE-II,	KALAYA	ORAKZA
Case Title:		Vs			

cross examination that the injured came to the PS at about 12:20 hours.

Karim Hussain, was examined as **PW-04**. He stated in his cross examination that he had taken injury sheets and nothing else, it was 03:30 PM. He handed over the injury sheet to doctor and returned to the PS at about 04:30 PM.

Arguments of learned counsel for accused/respondents and complainant heard.

Arguments of the counsel for accused/respondents through instant application allege that a series of contradiction exist in the statements of PWs and furthermore, there are serious dents in the evidence so for recorded by the prosecution, which make the case of prosecution one of further inquiry. Furthermore, he alleged that the instant case was put in Court on 27.07.2021, since than the prosecution has produced only four (04) witnesses which shows the lack of interest in the instant case. He further alleged that there is no probability of conviction of accused at later stage after recording of entire/remaining evidence of prosecution. Contrary to this counsel for the complainant vehemently opposed the instant application and argued that accused have been directly charged in the instant case. He further argued that there exists no dent in the prosecution evidence

JUNAID ALAM JUNAID ALAM Civil Judge I Junil Civil Judge Kalaya Orakzai at Kalaya

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM, CIVIL JUDGE/JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-II, KALAYA ORAKZAI Case Title: Vs_______Vs______

and furthermore, the application in hand is pre-mature, hence the prosecution may kindly be allowed to produce their remaining evidence in order to bring home the charge against accused facing trial.

In given circumstances, perusal of the evidence, so for recorded by the prosecution, in the instant case would reveal that Malak Janan SHO in the instant case appeared as PW-01 in the witness box and had deposed in cross examination that "it is correct that FIR was inadvertently registered in the instant case. Later on, on the directions of the prosecution, complaint was prepared and submitted in the court. PW-02 the doctor in the instant case appeared before the court and stated in his cross examination that I have not mentioned size of injuries/wounds in my report. Per X-Ray report, there was no fracture. The alleged injury was in normal condition.

here that PW-03, stated in his cross examination that injured came to the PS at about 12:20 hours. While PW-04 stated in his cross examination that I had taken injury sheets at 03:30 PM and I handed over the injury sheet to doctor and returned to the PS at about 04:30 PM. On the

other hand, PW-02 the doctor in the instant case appeared

As for as the injured is concerned, it is pertinent to mention

MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM Civil Judge I JM-II Oray zai at Kalaya

before the court and stated in his cross examination that the injured was brought to the hospital at 04:14 PM. This major contradiction in the prosecution case, makes the prosecution case for further inquiry.

It is also worth mentioning here that as per medical report the nature of injury was simple while complainant had alleged in Mad no.04 that he got injured with hits of bricks, so in these circumstances the question arises that how a wound caused through bricks may be declared as simple wound by the doctor.

Moreover, no independent witness has been cited as witness in the instant case and thus prosecution failed to comply with the mandatory provisions of section 103 Cr.P.C.

Hence, in the light of above discussion, the case of prosecution is full of dents and is one of further inquiry. Furthermore, there exist vast contradictions in the statements of PWs. Hence there is no probability of the conviction of accused facing trial at later stage after recording of entire prosecution evidence rather it would be a futile exercise and would be wastage of precious time of this court. Accordingly, the application filed u/s 249-A Cr.P.C. is hereby allowed and accused/respondents facing

Orakza di Kalaya Orakza di Kalaya

IN THE COURT OF	MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM, CIVIL JUDG	OGE/JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE-H, KALAYA ORAKZAI
Case Title:		Vs

trial are hereby acquitted under section 249-A Cr.P.C from the charges levelled against them. Their bail bonds stand cancelled and sureties are discharged from the liability of bail bonds. Case property, if any, be kept intact till period of appeal.

File be consigned to the record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

**Announced** 20.09.2025

Muhammad Junaid Alam,

Judicial Magistrate-II Tehsil Courts, Kalaya, Orakzai