Page 1 of 2 State vs Gultaj Ali etc. U/S 514 Cr. PC

Order 02 02.07.2025

Sureties namely Gultaj Ali in person present. Surety Ayan absent.

Record reveals that Gultaj Ali and Ayan Ali stood sureties for accused Itezaz Hassan for an amount of Rs. 70,000/- each. During the trial, they failed to produce the said accused before the court, resultantly, accused were proceeded against U/S 512 Cr. PC and sureties were proceeded U/S 514 Cr. PC.

Today, surety Gultaj Ali produced accused Itezaz Hassan before the court. There is nothing on record showing that the sureties have gained any financial benefits from the accused. Prima facie, they stood sureties for the accused on social ground. Furthermore, surety Gultaj Ali produced the accused before the court today. Sureties have made genuine efforts, therefore, sureties are not liable to be sanctioned by any penalty. However, surety Gultaj Ali is strictly directed to be careful next time and make sure presence of accused on every date. Hence, disposed of accordingly.

File be consigned to record room after necessary completion and compilation.

Announced: 02.07.2025

Muhammad Junaid Alam Judicial Magistrate-II, Kalaya Orakzai