BA NO. 87/4 OF 2025 GUL ZAMAN VS THE STATE

FIR NO. 57, DATED, 07.07.2025, U/S 324/34 PPC & 15AA, POLICE STATION: MISHTI MELA

IN THE COURT OF HAQ NAWAZ, SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Bail Application No.

87/4 of 2025

Date of Institution

15.07.2025

Date of Decision

23.07.2025

ORDER

Sana Ullah Khan Advocate for accused/petitioner and Sr. PP, Abul Qasim for the State present. Mr. Abid Ali Advocate for complainant present. I heard arguments and perused the record.

2. Accused/petitioner, Gul Zaman s/o Misri Khan seeks his post arrest bail in case FIR No. 57, Dated 07.07.2025, u/s 324/34 PPC and 15AA of Police Station Mishti Mela. As per contents of FIR, the local police responding to information regarding presence of an injured in DHQ Hospital Mishti Mela, reached there and found the injured Hakeem Badshah in unconscious condition in the emergency room. The complainant, Abdul Manaf (brother of injured) reported the matter to the police that he along with his injured/brother went to their field situated at Hussain Talab to inspect the crops on the eventful day, when the accused Ameen Ullah came by and upon seeing them, went to his house. A short while later, the accused/petitioner Gul Zaman and co-accused Ameen Ullah duly armed came there and started firing upon the complainant party at about 1930 hours, when they (complainant

BA NO. 87/4 OF 2025

GUL ZAMAN VS THE STATEFIR NO. 57, DATED, 07.07.2025, U/S 324/34 PPC & 15AA,

POLICE STATION: MISHTI MELA

party) were on their way to their house. As a result of firing of Ameen Ullah, the brother of complainant got hit and sustained injuries while he luckily escaped unhurt from the firing of Gul Zaman

(accused/petitioner). Hence, the present FIR.

3. After hearing both the parties and perusal of the record, it was found that though the accused/petitioner is directly charged in the FIR for the commission of offence but the accused/petitioner is charged for ineffective firing and the intention of accused/petitioner to attempt at the life of complainant or otherwise, would be determined during the trial. Moreover, no motive for the occurrence was cited in the report of complainant. These facts make the case one of further inquiry.

- 4 Hence, in view of what is discussed above, the accused/petitioner is admitted to the concession of bail provided he submits a bail bond in sum of Rs. 80,000/with two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of this Court. The sureties must be local, reliable and men of means.
- 5. Copy of this Order be placed on police/judicial file.
 File of this Court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced:

23.07.2025

(HAQ NAWAZ)

Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela

Page 2 of 2