

COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

4

Case Title: Malak Zaliman Shah VS Provincial Government

Serial No	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
of order or proceeding	Proceedings	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
S	-	
1	2	3
Order No. 14	06.03.2025	Presence as before.
		This order shall decide an application filed by
	·	plaintiff/petitioner for grant of a restraining order against
	·	the defendants, the provincial government.
		Brief-Account:
		The claim as stated in the plaint reads that
		defendants are beyond their rights and authority in
		attempting to roll down concrete road on the suit land
	, i	which is plaintiffs ownership. It is alleged that the
		defendants, the provincial government, is trying to lay
·	·	down concrete roads through the fields of the plaintiffs
		without acquiring land for it, or establishing its legal
		authority and mandate for the act.
		Defendants deny the assertions and allegations made
		in the plaint. They contend that the government has no
		intention to grab land in the garb of its development or to
		acquire it. They submit that the government for community
	civil judde 1	welfare is paving an existing, decades old passage under a
	Civil Judge	public project.
06	MAR 2023	Arguments heard and record perused.
		Reasoning and Ruling:
3		From the averments in the pleadings and the

COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Malak Zaliman Shah VS Provincial Government

Order No. 14	06.03.2025	arguments made at the bar, the dispute seems to be about
continued		the defendants move to pave an existing rough passage.
		The defendants have already made their intentions clear
		before the court both through oral arguments and in writing
		that they intend to pave existing passage for public welfare
		without acquiring or appropriating the land.
		In these circumstances, the court understands that
		interests of the community and rights of the plaintiff need
		to be balanced. The bailiff report available on file shows
		that the dispute is about an old and existing passage.
		Since the defendants are not claiming title over suit
		land, the court deems it appropriate to bind them to their
	3	submissions through a written undertaking. Defendants
		shall submit an undertaking within 10 days to the effect
		that they shall not increase the width of the existing rough
		passage, nor shall they be entitled to claim any
		compensation in case the disputed passage is found
		illegally constructed, and ordered demolished.
		Application is disposed in the above terms. File be
	··	consigned.
<i>p</i> 1.		Announced 06.03.2025 Jjaz Mahsood Senior Civil Judge
		O6.03.2025 Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)
1	i .	1