

IN THE COURT OF IJAZ MAHSOOD, SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE,  
ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Suit No..... 04/1 of 2025

Date of Institution..... 07.01.2025

Date of Decision.....24.02.2025

= = = = =

Mst. Bibi Omail Janan w/o Syed Shah Muhammad, R/O  
Qoum Bar Muhammad Khel, Tappa Baba Nawasi, Tazi  
Khel, Tehsil Lower, District Orakzai.

.....(Plaintiff)

Versus

1. Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
2. Director General NADRA, Hayatabad, Peshawar.
3. Assistant Director NADRA, Orakzai.

..... (Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION


JUDGMENT

24.02.2025

This judgment decides instant case filed by Mst. Bibi  
Omail Janan for correction of her date of birth in her record as  
maintained by the defendants.

Pleadings:

The claim as related in the plaint reads that correct  
date of birth of the plaintiff is 01.01.1974 while it has been  
erroneously recorded as 01.01.1982 by defendants. That correct



date of birth of her elder son namely Syed Shah Mir is 01.01.1993, which leaves unnatural age gap between plaintiff and her son; hence, the suit.

In rebuttal, the representative for the defendants has raised the regular objections to the legal validity of the claim, the standing of the plaintiff, and factual version of the matter. He concedes that according to family tree, there is unnatural age gap between plaintiff and her son.

The controversy as related in the pleadings was distilled into the following issues:

**Issues:**

1. *Whether suit is valid in its legal frame, and the court is competent to hear it?*
2. *Whether correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01.01.1974 while it has been erroneously recorded as 01.01.1982 by defendants?*
3. *Relief.*

Thereafter, both sides were invited to produce their evidence to establish the positions they had taken in their pleadings.

**Witnesses/Exhibits:**

Syed Shah Mir, special attorney for the plaintiff appeared as PW-01, Syed Shah Muhammad, husband of the plaintiff as PW-02 and Iftikhar Ahmad, representative for the

Senior Civil Judge  
Orakzai  
24 FEB 2025

defendants as DW-01. They have exhibited the following documents;

- i. Special Attorney as Ex.PW-1/1.
- ii. Copy of CNIC of PW-01 as Ex.PW-1/2.
- iii. Copy of CNIC of the plaintiff as Ex.PW-1/3.
- iv. Copy of CNIC of PW-02 as Ex.PW-2/1.
- v. Family Trees as Ex.DW-1/1 and Ex.DW-1/2.

**Reasons/Reasoning:**

Issue wise reasoning of the court followed by a ruling on each issue, and finally on the suit is as follows:

**Issue No 01:**

This issue questions the legal validity of the frame of the suit, and the competence of the forum to hear it. Plaintiff has sought correction of her date of birth, which, clearly, is a civil matter, and thus amenable to the jurisdiction of this court under section 09 of the civil procedure code. Other objections such as limitation etc, were not rigorously pressed, and upon examination of the corpus of the case by the court, were found inapplicable. Therefore, the issue is decided for the plaintiff.

**Issue No 02:**

This issue houses the heart of the suit: correct date of birth of the plaintiff. It is claimed that correct date of birth of the plaintiff is 01.01.1974 while it has been erroneously recorded as

Senior Civil Judge  
Orakzai  
24 FEB 2023  
Baber Mela

01.01.1982 by defendants. Records show unnatural gap of age between the plaintiff, a mother, and her son.

Mr. Syed Shah Mir, son/special attorney for the plaintiff, produced his appointment order No. 2016-2027/VC/LGRDD/Ork, dated: 01.11.2022 issued by Assistant Director, LG & RDD District Orakzai which shows that he is a civil servant and his correct date of birth is 01.01.1993 which is correctly mentioned in his record and does not want to change the same. He requested for correction of date of birth of the plaintiff in her record as maintained by the defendants.

Defense's only witness concedes that according to family tree, there is unnatural age gap between plaintiff and her son namely Syed Shah Mir.

Biologically it is impossible for a human to have given birth to another human within 11 years of his/her own birth. Defendants record show an age difference of 11 years between the plaintiff and her son namely Syed Shah Mir.

In these circumstances, it is rational and prudent to accept the claim of the plaintiff as true. It has been established from the available record that birth date of the plaintiff has been wrongly recorded by the defendants and they have nothing solid

Senior Civil Judge  
Orakzai & Baber Mela  
24 FEB 2025

documentary evidence regarding dismissal of the suit.

In view of the discussion above, the issue is decided for the plaintiff.


**RELIEF:**

Crux of my issue wise discussion is that suit of the plaintiff is hereby decreed in her favor against the defendants as prayed for. Date of birth of the plaintiff is declared as 01.01.1974. Defendants shall bring their records in line with this judgment. Costs shall follow the event. This decree shall not affect the rights of other persons interested, if any.

File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

**ANNOUNCED**

24.02.2025



**(Ijaz Mahsood)**  
Senior Civil Judge,  
Orakzai (at Baber Mela)

**CERTIFICATE**

It is certified that this judgment consists of 05 pages. Each page has been dictated, read, corrected and signed by me.



**(Ijaz Mahsood)**  
Senior Civil Judge,  
Orakzai (at Baber Mela)