zb

## FORM "A" FORM OF ORDER SHEET

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Order No. 36	26.05.2025	Parties alongwith counsel present.
		Case was fixed for submission of amended written
	,	statement, however, counsel for defendants stated at the
		bar that he does not want to submit amended written
		statement, rather he relies on already submitted written
		statement. Reconciliation and conferencing held today.
		Perusal of the record would reveal that plaintiff filed
		instant suit for the right of easement in respect of suit
		pathway at Chamando Mela Lower Orakzai. In the plaint
		the plaintiff claimed that he constructed a house on his
		ancestral property some 20 years ago. He used the same
		suit pathway throughout and even brought the
		construction materials for the construction house. He
		further stated that he alongwith defendant no. 05 has
		been using the suit pathway for the last 20 years. The
·	JUNAU ALAM	said pathway passes through the property of defendant
wil HA W	MAD JUNAJUAN Orakzai ai Kalaya	no. 05. Defendant no. 01 to 04 have illegally and forcibly
milly, C	Orahiz	closed the pathway. Defendant no. 01 to 04 have no
		concern with the suit property/pathway. He further stated
	   	that there is no other access or alternative pathway
		leading to the plaintiff's house. Contrary to this

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Continued Order No. 36	MAD JUNAID ALAM MAD JUNAID ALAM VII JUNGE I JAM VII JUNGE I JA	defendants stated in their written statement that neither
		pathway/thoroughfare ever existed in the suit property
		nor the same still exist. They further stated that the suit
		property/pathway is up till now cultivated by defendants.
		They further stated that another pathway does exist,
		leading to the house of plaintiff. Furthermore, the
		field/pathway leading to plaintiff's house, has been
		exchanged with one Sujad Ali in the year of 2021.
		Moreover, the alleged pathway leading to the plaintiff's
		house passes through the personal property of
		defendants.
		Upon perusal of the record it was revealed that plaintiff
		claiming a right of easement over the personal property
		of defendants situated at Chamando Mela, Orakzai.
		Upon examination of the pleadings of the parties and
		examination of the parties during the conferencing and
WALL C		reconciliation proceedings, it became crystal clear that
		the plaintiff has failed to establish continuous,
		uninterrupted, and peaceful use of the said pathway as
		required under the law to claim a prescriptive easement.
		Furthermore, the plaintiff has not demonstrated any legal
		necessity or lack of alternative access that would justify
		the imposition of compulsory easement. It is also

Serial No. of Order of Proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of Parties or Counsel where necessary.
Continued Order No. 36	26.05.2025	pertinent to mention here that plaintiff claimed a right of
		pathway but the alleged pathway passes over the
		personal agricultural land of defendant no. 05 Farhad Ali
		without his consent. It is also worth mentioning here that
		plaintiff has got no right to sue the pathway or has no
		legal claim over the defendant's property.
		It is well-settled principal of law that a suit for easement
		cannot be decreed unless the servient tenement is
		properly identified and the lawful owner agree upon on
		the same.
		In light of what has been discussed above, instant suit is
	·	hereby dismissed. No order as to costs. File be consigned
		to the record room after its necessary completion and
		compilation.
		Announced 26.05.2025
		Muhammad Junaid Alam, Civil Judge-II, Tehsil Court Kalaya, Orakzai