IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE-I. ORAKZAI AT BABER MEI

Case Title: <u>Fazal Janan etc Vs Chief Engineer etc.</u> Case No. 02/13, Dated 07.02.2025.

Serial No	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
of order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings	Proceedings	
1	2	3
Order No.05	17.04.2025	Appellants/plaintiffs through one of the appellant Fazal
		Janan being attorney for the rest of the appellants present.
		Respondent No. 1, 3 and 5 through Ihsan Ullah SDO Irrigation
	,	(Respondent No. 5) present. He is directed to submit Authority
		letter and Wakalatnama on next date. Respondents No. 2, 4 and
		6 absent. Fresh Notices be issued to them for 22.04.2025.
· .		Que 2
		(BAKHT ZADA) Addl: District Judge-I, Orakzai at Baber Mela
Order No.06	22.04.2025	Appellant/petitioner through Fatih Khan along with
		Zahoor Ur Rehman Khan Advocate present. Respondent
		No. 6 in person and for respondents No. 2 and 4 present.
		Respondents No. 1, 3 and 5 have been served in person
		and on previous date were present through SDO Irrigation
		respondent No. 5. Today no one present on behalf of
		respondents No. 1, 3 and 5; therefore, placed and
•		proceeded Ex-parte.
		Respondent No. 6 stated at the bar that neither they
		are executing agency, nor they have got any concern with
		subject matter of the suit. Similarly, on previous date
·		SDO, Irrigation respondent No. 5 also stated that they are
		not necessary party in the instant suit.
		Today, learned counsel for the appellant submitted
		an application for remand of the case on the ground; that at
		the time of filing of suit proper parties were not impleaded
	The	by him. He argued that he is going to withdraw the main
	W/ ,5	suit, if this court issued order for setting aside order dated
	~~\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	11.01.2025.
5	AKHT ZADA	·
Addl: Dis	AKHT ZADA 1 (1) & Sessions Judge-1 Drakzai at Hangu Drakzai at Hangu	shows that none of the respondents in the instant appeal
		are necessary parties against whom effective relief could

<u>IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE-I, ORAKZAI AT BABER MEI</u>

Case Title: <u>Fazal Janan etc Vs Chief Engineer etc.</u> Case No. 02/13, Dated 07.02.2025.

Contin-Order No.06

be claimed. There is no legal bar on filing fresh suit against new respondents; however, keeping in view the request of the learned counsel for the appellants/petitioners and in the interest of justice the impugned order dated 11.01.2025 is hereby set aside and the case is remanded back to the learned trial court for providing opportunity to the learned counsel for appellants/plaintiffs to either amend the plaint by impleading necessary parties, against whom effective relief could be claimed or to file fresh suit after withdrawal of the instant/main suit. Appeal disposed accordingly. No order as to cost.

Requisitioned record be returned while file of this court be consigned to record room.

Announced 22.04.2025.

(BAKHT ZADA)
Addl: District Judge-I, Orakzai

at Baber Mela