## FORM OF ORDER SHEET

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: Sharif Khan VS

**State** 

Serial No of	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
order or	Order or	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
proceedings	Proceedings	
1	- 2	3
Superintendent	15.04.2025	Criminal Misc: petition U/S 517 Cr.P.C presented by
Note		
:		counsel, Muhammad Siraj Advocate, to the office of
		Superintendent. Put up before Honorable District &
		Sessions Judge Orakzai, for further orders, please.
		(SUPERINTENDENT) To District & Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela.
Order No.01 15	.04.2025	Registered. Notice be issued to the state and record
	of	the case be requisitioned for 22.04.2028.
		(acolollal'
		(SYED OBAIDULLAH SHAH) Sessions Judge, Orakzai
		at Baber Mela
Order No.02 22	04.2025	DPP, Umar Niaz for the State present. Counsel for
	th	e petitioner also present. Record received.
	2.	The above-named petitioner seeks return of
	m	otorcar bearing Registration No. BC-9203/Peshawar,
	E	ngine No. 3763504 and Chassis No. 9008171, Model
	19	999 of white colour, taken into possession by the local
	po	plice in the subject case FIR, wherein, as per contents of
	(O) (F)	R, the complainant acting on information regarding
	2 /4 or tra	afficking of narcotics via motorcar from Kurez to
Syed Obay		happar Mishti, set up a picket on the crime scene where
	510115	about 1900 hours, the spotted motorcar arrived there,
1	Ĭ	1

(3)

:		
Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order or Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magicarate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.
1	2	3
Contin. Order No.02		the driver of the vehicle was disembarked who disclosed
		his name as Shahid Ali (accused) and ten packets of
		opium weighing 11,000 grams and twenty packets of
• :		chars weighing 22,000 grams were recovered from
		hidden cavities in the vehicle.
		3. I heard arguments and perused the record.
		4. Perusal of the case file reveals that the petitioner
		claims the ownership of his impounded motorcar vide
		Certificate of Registration (Section 25(2) of Motor
		Vehicle Ordinance 1965), transfer letter and
	f .	sale/purchase deed of 05.03.2020. It is also evident from
		the record that the motorcar in question was confiscated
		to the State vide Judgment of the predecessor of the
		undersigned on 05.03.2021 which was challenged before
		the august Peshawar High Court, Peshawar and the appeal
e de		was accepted, acquitting the convict/accused Shahid Ali.
		The petitioner was required to notify the registering
		authority regarding transfer of the ownership of the
	20,0	vehicle as mandated in Section 32 of the Khyber
		Pakhtunkhwa Motor Vehicle Ordinance, 1965, but he
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	failed to do so rather the transfer letter annexed with the
	ed Obaiduil h Shah	petition is empty/blank. During pendency of the trial, the
ing.	ed Obaidung trict & Sessions Me trict & Raber Me trict & Tub	petitioner has neither moved any application before the
		court for return of the motorcar nor this document or any
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

:		4			
IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA					
Case Titl	le:Sh	any Khan vs State			
FIR no. 26, Dated: 10-04-2020-u/s 9DCNSA, PS Kalayon					
Serial No of order or proceedings	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge of Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary.			
1	2	3			
Contin. Order No.02		other conclusive documentary proof of legal ownership			
		of the vehicle has been produced to the Investigation			
		Officer besides the fact that the IO has neither inquired			
		into the ownership of the motorcar nor other antecedents			
· · .	•	of the motorcar in question.			
		It is also worth mentioning that a Cr. Misc. Petition			
e e		No. 28/4 of 2021 had been filed before this Court by one,			
		Wasim Ali for return of the aforesaid vehicle annexing			
		the same registration certificate with a different			
		sale/purchase deed which puzzles a prudent mind that			

5. In light of the foregoing discussion, the petition for the release of the impounded vehicle is devoid of merit; hence, the application is accordingly dismissed.

how can two different persons be in possession of the

same original registration documents.

6. Order announced. File of this court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Dated: 22.04.2025

SYED OBATDULLAH\SH Sessions Judge, Orakzai at Baber Mela