

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM,
Civil Judge-II, Kalaya, Orakzai.

Suit No.....38/1 of 2025.

Date of Institution..... 26.03.2025.

Date of decision.....23.04.2025.

=====

Jahanzeb Khan S/O Umar Gul R/O Qoum Feroz Khel, Saam, Tehsil Lower,
District Orakzai.

..... (Plaintiff)

Versus

1. Chairman NADRA, Islamabad.
2. Director General NADRA, KPK, Peshawar.
3. Assistant Director NADRA, District Orakzai.
4. Board of Intermediate & Secondary Education Kohat

..... (Defendants)

SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION.


EX-PARTE SUMMARY JUDGEMENT

23.04.2025

Plaintiff through counsel present. Defendants absent despite
service, therefore, placed and proceeded ex-parte.

Learned counsel for plaintiff requested for summary disposal of
the suit on the basis of available record. Arguments heard and record
gone through.

Brief facts in the backdrop are that plaintiff has filed the instant
suit for declaration cum-permanent injunction to the effect that, as per
record of defendant No.01 to 03, his true and correct date of birth is
28.02.1992, while, defendant No.4 has incorrectly entered the same as
12.12.1987 which is wrong, illegal and ineffective upon the rights of
plaintiff and liable to be rectified. That defendant No.4 was asked time


MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM
Civil Judge / JM-II
Orakzai at Kalaya

and again to rectify date of birth of plaintiff but in vain hence, the present suit.

With due process of law and procedure, the defendants were summoned, but they did not appear before the court despite service, therefore, placed and proceeded ex-parte.


Plaintiff has placed reliance on CNIC issued by defendant No.1 to 03, wherein date of birth of plaintiff is mentioned as 28.02.1992. Copy of CNIC is placed on file. Date of birth of plaintiff is recorded as 28.02.1992 in CNIC issued by NADRA (defendant No.1 to 03) therefore, defendant 04 No. is directed to rectify date of birth of plaintiff as **28.02.1992** accordingly. There is nothing in rebuttal due to ex-parte while plaintiff produced documentary evidence in support of his claim.

As there is nothing in rebuttal due to ex-parte and plaintiff produced reliable evidence, therefore, suit of plaintiff is hereby ex-parte decreed as prayed for. No order as to cost. This decree shall not affect the rights of others, interested if any. This decree shall not affect service record of plaintiff, if any.

File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Announced:

23.04.2025



Muhammad Junaid Alam

Civil Judge-II,
Tehsil Courts, Kalaya Orakzai