

IN THE COURT OF MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM
CIVIL JUDGE-II, KALAYA, ORAKZAI

Suit No.....7/1 of 2025.

Date of Institution.....09.01.2025.

Date of decision.....22.04.2025.

= = = = =

Shah Afzal Khan S/O Muhammad Azeem R/O Qoam Otman Khel, Tappa
Branka Khel, Tehsil Lower, District Orakzai.

.....(Plaintiff)

Versus

1. Chairman NADRA Islamabad.
2. Director General NADRA KPK, Hayatabad Peshawar.
3. Assistant Director NADRA Orakzai.

.....(Defendants)


SUIT FOR DECLARATION & PERMANENT INJUNCTION.

SUMMARY JUDGEMENT

22.04.2025

Vide this order/judgment the Court intend to dispose of the instant
suit filed by plaintiff against defendants for declaration and permanent
injunction.

Brief facts of the case are that plaintiff namely Shah Afzal Khan
has brought the instant suit for declaration cum-permanent injunction
against defendants contended that his CNIC was blocked without any
valid reason. Plaintiff belong to Qoam Otman Khel, Tappa Branka
Khel, which falls at District Orakzai. That plaintiff's father married
twice. He had three children from first wife and ten children from the
2nd wife, they are legal heirs of one late Muhammad Azam. That
plaintiff is a well-mannered Pakistani Citizen. That the defendants
blocked CNIC of plaintiff, which is wrong, illegal and ineffective


MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM
Civil Judge / JM-II
Orakzai at Kalaya


upon the rights of plaintiff and liable to be opened/issued. That defendants were asked time and again to unblock CNIC of plaintiff accordingly but in vain, hence the present suit.

After institution of the suit, defendants were summoned. Representative of defendants appeared before the court and submit authority letter and written statement alongwith record, which is placed on file.

From divergent pleadings of the parties, the following issues were framed for adjudication of real controversy between the parties.

ISSUES

1. Whether plaintiff has got a cause of action? OPP
2. Whether defendants previously blocked CNIC of plaintiff? OPP
3. Whether plaintiff is entitled to the decree as prayed for? OPP
4. Relief?


MUHAMMAD JUNAID ALAM
Civil Judge / JM-II
Orakzai at Kalaya

After framing of issues learned counsel for plaintiff requested for summary disposal of the suit on the basis of available record. Both counsel for plaintiff and representative of defendants argued the case.


Plaintiff has placed reliance on CNIC issued to him by defendants. As per record CNIC issued to plaintiff on 06.03.2003 and expire on 28.02.2009. Plaintiff went to NADRA office, Zonal Committee for renewal/unblocking of his CNIC but defendants did not renew/unblock CNIC of plaintiff without any valid reason. Representative of defendants did not show any valid reason regarding blocking of CNIC of plaintiff. Furthermore, representative of defendants conceded the stance of plaintiff

in his written statement. Therefore, there is no need of recording pro and contra evidence of the parties.

In light of above discussion, plaintiff has succeeded his stance through documentary evidence, hence, suit of plaintiff is hereby decreed as prayed for. No order as to cost. Defendants are directed to unblock CNIC of plaintiff and do further progress as required. This decree shall not affect rights of others interested, if any.


File be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

ANNOUNCED
22.04.2025


Muhammad Junaid Alam
Civil Judge-II, Kalaya, Orakzai

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that this summary judgment consists of 03 pages. Each page has been dictated, read, corrected and signed by me.


Muhammad Junaid Alam
Civil Judge-II, Kalaya, Orakzai