

(4)

BA NO. 22/4 OF 2025
MAJEEL KHAN VS THE STATE
FIR NO. 14, DATED, 08.08.2024, U/S 302 PPC,
POLICE STATION GHILJO

IN THE COURT OF SYED OBAIDULLAH SHAH,
SESSIONS JUDGE, ORAKZAI
AT BABER MELA

Bail Application No. : 22/4 of 2025
Date of Institution : 01.03.2025
Date of Decision : 17.03.2025

MAJEEL KHAN VS THE STATE

ORDER

DPP, Umar Niaz for the State and Khursheed Alam Advocate for accused/petitioner present. Arguments heard and record gone through.

2. The accused/petitioner, **Majeel Khan** s/o Jameel Khan seeks his post arrest bail in case FIR No. 14, dated 08.08.2024, u/s 302 PPC of Police Station Ghiljo, wherein as per contents of FIR, the local police responded to the information about presence of a dead body in THQ Hospital and found the dead body of Mst. Miraj Bibi in the emergency room. The complainant, Muhammad Khan (father of the deceased) made a report to the local police to the fact that on the eventful day at about 1640 hours he was informed about the murder of his daughter and that she being taken to the hospital, and when he arrived at the hospital, he found her daughter killed by the accused/petitioner Majeel Khan. Family conflict and preventing the accused/petitioner from using drugs are the driving forces behind the incident. Hence, the present FIR.

3. Learned counsel for the accused/petitioner argued that the accused/petitioner has falsely been implicated in the instant case to scot-free the actual

Syed Obaidullah Shah
District & Sessions Judge
Orakzai at Baber Mela



BA NO. 22/4 OF 2025

MAJEEL KHAN VS THE STATE

FIR NO. 14, DATED, 08.08.2024, U/S 302 PPC,
POLICE STATION GHILIO

culprit. In contrast, DPP put forward his arguments that the accused/petitioner has directly been charged in the FIR, the offence for which the accused/petitioner is charged, is heinous in nature.

4. Upon reviewing the record, it's apparent that the accused/petitioner is directly nominated in the FIR for the offence falling within the prohibitory clause of 497 Cr.P.C. Moreover, the medico legal evidence and the recoveries made from the spot of occurrence prima facia connect the accused/petitioner with the commission of offence.

5. Hence, in view of what is discussed above, it is held that sufficient material is available on file which reasonably connects the accused/petitioner with the commission of offence; therefore, he is not entitled to the concession of bail at this stage. The instant post-arrest bail application is thus turned down.

6. Order announced. File of this court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

7. Copy of this order be placed on judicial/police file.

8. This Order is tentative in nature and would have no effect upon the trial of the accused/petitioner.

Dated: 17.03.2025



[Handwritten signature]
17/03/25

SYED OBAIDULLAH SHAH
Sessions Judge, Orakzai
at Baber Mela