MEWA KHAN VS STATE

FIR no. 12, dated 11.03.2025, u/s 427/447/506/148/149 PPC, PS Mishti Mela

IN THE COURT OF BAKHT ZADA ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Bail Application No

32/04 of 2025

Date of Institution

08.03.2025

Date of Decision

17.11.2024

MEWA KHAN ETC VS THE STATE

ORDER 17.11.2024

Sr. PP, Abul Qasim for the State present.

Complainant Abid Khan present in person.

Accused/petitioners, (1) Mewa Khan s/o Noor Asghar

(2) Yousaf Khan s/o Nuran Gul (3) Tabib Jan s/o Lal

Bar and (4) Aman Ullah s/o Jan Akbar along Mr.

Ahamd Nadeem Advocate present.

(2). Accused/petitioner, (1) Mewa Khan s/o Noor Asghar (2) Yousaf Khan s/o Nuran Gul (3) Tabib Jan s/o Lal Bar and (4) Aman Ullah s/o Jan are seeking confirmation their pre-arrest bail in case FIR no. 30, dated 12.11.2024 u/s 427/447/506/148/149 PPC of police station Ghiljo.

BAKHT ZADA Addl: District & Sessions Judge-1, Orakzai at Harigu Facts of the case are that complainant Abid Khan vide mad No. 10, dated 21.10.2024 reported the matter in the PS that on the eventful at 1830 hours he along with his cousin Akhtar Shah s/o Senam Shah went to see their property where they saw the accused/petitioners along with two other accused were found busy and cutting the Jangle trees, they were asked to refrain from doing so, but they refused to do

MEWA KHAN VS STATE

FIR no. 12, dated 11.03.2025, u/s 427/447/506/148/149 PPC, PS

Mishti Mela

so and in the meanwhile altercation took place with the accused/petitioners and Mewa Khan started aerial firing at the complainant and his cousin and hence the instant bail petition.

- Arguments heard and available record perused which **(4)**. shows that BBA is an extradentary relief which may be granted in exceptional circumstances. Primary object of the pre arrest as to save the innocent persons from the apprehension being arrested for a tainted purpose. The petitioner's is duty bound to establish on record existing on reasonable grounds leading to believe that he was not guilty of offence alleged against him, but no malafide is pointed out in the present case on the part of complainant as well as the prosecution, rather the accused/petitioners are directly charged in the FIR and previously another FIR has been registered against the complainant party. I am therefore not inclined to confirm the already granted Ad-interim pre arrest bail to the accused/petitioners consequently the same is recalled.
- (6). Order announced. File of this court be consigned to record room after its completion and compilation. Copy of this order be placed on main file.

Announced 17.03.2025

BAKHT ZADA
nal Sessions Judge-L

Additional Sessions Judge-I, Orakzai at Baber Mela