

COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title:	بىرا ،)	1 (in	مولال سياه	
			7	

		O 1 Describing with Signature of Judge or
Serial No of order or	Date of Order Proceedings	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceeding	Troccomigs	iviagistrate and mat of parties of courses where
S		
Ondon No. 06	2 02 02 2025	This order shall decide an application filed by
Order No. 06	03.02.2025	
		plaintiff seeking a restraining order to prevent the
		defendants from carrying out mining operations in the
		subject matter of the suit, a disputed mine.
	,	Brief Account:
		Plaintiff claims that mining rights to land measuring
		1000 acres under lease order no 374 were awarded to him
		provisionally. However, it is alleged that subsequently
		defendants colluded to alter the measurements of the
		sketch to his prejudice only to favor the defendant. He
		complains that the defendant is now illegally encroaching
		upon land initially awarded to the plaintiff.
		Defense counter argues that plaintiff is misreporting
		the matter only to mislead the court. They contend that the
		plaintiff's proposed sketch was subsequently turned down,
		and the plaintiff only received a prospecting licence, rather
	,	than a consummate mining licence. They report that the
	} × ×	matter has already been settled by the competent forum all
/3	en	the way to the top of its hierarchy. Upon the complaint of
! O _{ra}	enior Civil Judge	the plaintiff, a thorough inquiry was conducted in which
/	J.F. Herme	measurements were duly taken to determine the veracity of
	125	the allegations. That the committee found the allegations
		of the plaintiff as baseless and unfounded.
		Counsel argues that his clients are in possession of
		mining licences, and the land permitted for mining. That in
		addition to being prima facie entitled, a restraining order, if
	, ,	passed against them, will surely put them at risk of higher
		loss and inconvenience, as they are deeply and widely
		invested in the mining operations.
		Arguments heard and records perused.

COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Order No. 06 continued

03.02.2025

Reasoning:

In addition to self-serving pleadings of both sides, the only documentary material of a presumably neutral origin on file is the inquiry report filed by a competent committee constituted by the Director General Mining.

The report exhaustively treats the controversy in respect of the dispute about the measurement of land over which the parties are claiming mining rights. Its findings, in paraphrase, reads that the map claimed by the plaintiff was untraceable. When asked to furnish the local elders who witnessed the alleged transaction, the plaintiff could not produce the same. On the other hand, the sketch of the defendant is duly accounted for in all relevant records.

In respect of the corrections in the sketch, the report reads that it was common practice in ex-Fata, and had never been a cause of suspicion.

Instantly, the court is engaged in deciding a petition for grant of a restraining order at a pre-trial stage. Naturally, in absence of evidence the court can only on admitted facts, or public records invested with a rebuttable presumption of correctness.

The narrative of the plaintiff from available records is that the defendant has managed to tamper records, and receive favorable orders from the mining department all the way up to the Director general by collusion. He insinuates that the inquiry report, the modified map, and the orders in appeal etc all the way up to the last forum are the outcome of connivance.

Senior Civil Sudge Orak zai at Paper Mela 3 F. 3 2025

It is a slightly tall order for the court to give in to Melasuch broad and sweeping allegations of public bodies, especially in absence of any reliable forensic material or evidence.

Further, the court also agrees with the contention of

COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title:	•	موس ناه بنان الله موس	\ \ \ \ .
* ***		The state of the s	,

Order No. 06	03.02.2025	the defendant that a restraining order against them would
continued		bring to a halt their running operations, which,
		presumably, required millions to start running.
		In view of the foregone, application for grant of
		restraining order is dismissed. File be consigned.
		Announced 03.02.2025
		Ijaz Mahsood Senior Civil Judge, Orakzai (at Baber Mela)
1		
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
•		