

AMIN ULLAH VS NOOR NOOR NAWAZ BCA NO. 104/4, DATED 29.10.2024

IN THE COURT OF BAKHT ZADA ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-I, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

BCA No.

29/4 of 2022

Date of Original Institution:

29.10.2024

Date of transfer-in

20.11.2022

Date of Decision

20.11.2022

AMIN ULLAH VS NOOR NAWAZ

ORDER 20.11.2024

Case file received from the court of learned

AD& SJ-II, Orakzai, pursuant to order of the Hon'ble District & Sessions Judge, Orakzai bearing endorsement No. 7204-13/DSJ/ORK, dated: 16.11.2024, in compliance with directions contained in posting order of Mr. Abdul Basit, AD& SJ, Orakzai (to district Swat) bearing Endorsement No. 9562-9662/ Admin, Dated 06th November, 2024 of Peshawar High Court, Peshawar. It be registered.

Sr. PP for the State present.

Petitioner/complainant in person along with counsel present. Respondent Noor Nawaz present alongwiht his counsel Sana Ullah Khan Advocate.

(2). Petitioner/complainant Amin Ullah s/o Rafi Ullah has submitted the instant Bail Cancellation application against respondent/accused namely, (1) Noor Nawaz s/o Niaz r/o Dowri Khel central Orakzai, who was charged in case FIR no. 53 dated 24.09.2024 registered u/s 365, 392,337A(i) 337 A(iii), 337 F(i), 342/506/382/447/148/149 PPC of PS Mishti Mela.

BAKHT ZADA

- The petitioner/complainant has alleged that the **(3)**. respondents/accused were released by the Judicial order Kalaya vide his Magistrate-II, 30.09.2024. That solid evidence against respondent/accused is available on the case file to the effect that abductee was recovered from the house of accused. That after release of the accused on bail new section of law were inserted in the FIR at the opinion of the learned DPP and the accused has not granted bail in the said section of law. He prayed for cancellation of the bail of the accused/respondent.
- Arguments heard and available record perused (4).which shows that learned Judicial Magistrate has released the above-mentioned respondent/accused on bail vide his order dated 30.09.2024. after perusal of the contents of the FIR and he has categorically mentioned several grounds for release of the accused on bail, but except the insertion of new section of law no ground for the cancellation of bail of the accused mentioned in section 497(5) Cr. PC have been agitated in the application or during arguments. Once bail is granted by the court of competent jurisdiction on the basis of valid reasons, the same. cannot be recalled/cancelled until and unless established by grounds are exceptional prosecution. No exceptional grounds are mentioned in the application. As for as the insertion of new section of law is concerned the court while granting

BAKHT ZADA

BAKHT ZADA

Judye

Addl: District & Sessions Judye

Orakzal at Hangu

Page 2 of 3



AMIN ULLAH VS NOOR NOOR NAWAZ BCA NO. 104/4, DATED 29.10.2024

the numbers or section of law mentioned in the relevant column of FIR, furthermore, there is no circumstances mentioned by the petitioner that the accused/respondent can misuse his liberty by indulging in similarly criminal activities. Similarly, there is no apprehension that the accused/respondent can make any attempt to temper the persecution evidence. Furthermore, no reasonable grounds have been brought on record under the law, therefore the instant application for bail cancellation being devoid of merits is hereby dismissed. Requisition record be returned to quarter concerned.

(5). File of this court be consigned to record room after its necessary completion and compilation.

Pronounced 20.11.2024

BAKHT ZADAAdd: Sessions Judge-I, Orakzai

at Baber Mela