## COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE/JM, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title: <u>Kifayat Khan alias Kaptan VS State</u>

•			
Serial No of order or	Date of Order	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary	
proceedings	Proceedings		
1	2	3	
Order No 01	04.01.2025	Bail Application submitted through counsel Insaf	
		Ali Advocate. Be entered in the relevant register.	
	V.	Notice be issued to the State for record and to	
		complainant for <u>06.01.2025</u>	
		(Ijaz Mahsood) SCJ/JM,	
# /*  K'	1	Orakzai (at Baber Mela)	
Order No. 02	06.11.2025	DyPP for the state present. Accused/petitioner	
The state of the s		through counsel present. Record received.	
		The petitioner namely Kifayat Khan alias Kaptan s/o	
J. Sant		Sultan Khan has applied for his post arrest bail in	
		connection with case FIR No. 98, Dated: 05.10.2022, U/S	
		324/504 PPC, registered in PS: Kalaya, L/Orakzai.	
	<b>.</b>	Facts as recounted in the FIR are that on 27.09.2022	
		at about 17:30 hrs, the complainant along with his son	
		namely Zohaib were going to their home on motorcycle	
		and when they reached to Indara Utman Khel, the accused	
	a	Kaptan stopped them and began to call names and fired	
	* !	shots with pistol at them. The motive behind the	
	* 	occurrence is dispute over money.	

## COURT OF SENIOR CIVIL JUDGE/JM, ORAKZAI AT BABER MELA

Case Title:

Kifayat Khan alias Kaptan VS State

Serial No	Date of	Order or other Proceedings with Signature of Judge or
of order or	Order	Magistrate and that of parties or counsel where necessary
proceedings	Proceedings	,
1	2	3
Order No. 02	06.01.2025	Counsel for accused argues that there is ineffective
continued		firing. The weapon of the alleged offence has not been
		recovered and the empties cannot be connected to the
·		weapon at his stage.
		Arguments and record perused.
	·	Weapon of the alleged shooting is yet to be recovered,
		which makes the recovery of empties of little significance
		at this stage, as they cannot be connected to the weapons.
·		Law, by default, sides with bail rather than jail. Only
		when the commission of crime is sufficiently probable, and
		the nature and gravity of the alleged offence makes the
		accused person a flight risk or threat to the community, is
·		bail to be withheld.
		,
		Presently, although empties have been recovered,
		however their trail and connection to the weapon, or the
fit de de la companya		accused has not been established. Infact, recovery of
i de		weapon has not been made so far. Further, ineffective firing
		in the narrative provided by the prosecution makes the
		existence of different version probable. Many key elements
		of the case are yet in need of further inquiry. Denying
·		release on bail at this stage and with these facts would be in
		divergence with spirit of the remedy.
		In the given circumstances, when there is so much to
		inquire into the allegations, the court is inclined to admit
		the accused person to bail subject to payment bonds to the
	,	tune of Rs.70,000/- with sureties in the like amount to the
		satisfaction of this court.
		File be consigned to the record room after its
		necessary completion and compilation.
·		Announced
		06.01.2025
		Ijaz Mahsood
		Senior Civil Julge/JM,
		Orakzai (at Baber Mela)